

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Ext 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/655,336 | 09/05/2000 | Tomoko Ueda | 1422-437P | 9668 | |
| 75 | 90 08/15/2003 | | | | |
| BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP | | | EXAMI | EXAMINER | |
| P O Box 747 Falls Church, V | 'A 22040-0747 | | WANG, SH | WANG, SHENGJUN | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1617 | 0.0 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/15/2003 | 22 | |
| | | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/655,336 | UEDA ET AL. | |
| nevicery neuen | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Shengjun Wang | 1617 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 01 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application are applications. | ation. A proper repl h places the applica | y to a ation in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo | g date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr unt of the fee. The app | on. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension |
| fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CT A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's | ce later than three months after the mail FR 1.704(b). | ling date of the final reje | office action; or ction, even if |
| 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o | f the appeal. | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (s | see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or si | mplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claim | s. |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | |
| $3. \square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | • | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed | amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See | | dered but does NO | T place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were | e newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | • | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>\$,11</u> ,16-18, 21-32 | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 9.10, 1.2 -1 | 5 | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | | roved by the Exami | ner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | | • | |
| 10. Other: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | - | |
| | SI ZAG PADAY | Wast | |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendments particularly including various symptoms as the symptomes being suppressed raise new issue for consideration, particularly, for some of the psychological symptomes, issue as to whether the claimed method would be enabled for such symptome need to b eevaluated..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the prior office action. The finality made in last office action is proper. Dictionary definition is within the knowledge of ordinary skill in the art, and is not a new art.